

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/753,366 01/09/26		01/09/2004	Kenichi Moriwaki	Q79365	6407	
23373	7590	06/29/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUI			RICKMAN, HOLLY C			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DO	20037		1773		

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/753,366	MORIWAKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	. Holly Rickman	1773
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON that the cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. JANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncompared to the practi	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and sub	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 January 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square on the drawing(s) be held in abeyarorrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second s	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
		•
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (FTO-592) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/04. 	(8) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/753,366

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 9-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 7-254128 (reference paragraph numbers refer to translated portion submitted by Applicant) in view of Kaitsu et al. (US 6562481).

JP 7-254128 teaches a magnetic recording medium having a polymeric substrate, a first ceramic underlayer formed from compounds of non-metal elements such as SiO₂, or Ti containing compounds such as TiC, a second underlayer formed from Cr or a Cr alloy, and a magnetic layer formed from a CoPtCr alloy (see paragraphs 11-13). The reference fails to teach the use of a nonmagnetic compound in the magnetic layer.

Kaitsu et al. teach a magnetic recording medium having a granular magnetic layer formed from a CoPtCr alloy grains with SiO₂ at the grain boundaries effectively decoupling the magnetic grains and improving the signal to noise ratio (see Fig. 3; col. 10, lines 11-27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add silica to the CoPtCr magnetic layer taught in JP 7-254128 in order to decouple the magnetic grains and improve the signal to noise ratio.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,366

Art Unit: 1773

With respect to claim 5, it is noted that the "crystal growth defective" layer is less than 5nm with no lower limit. Thus, the claim includes the condition wherein thickness equal 0 nm and the layer is not present.

3. Claims 7-8 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 7-254128 (reference paragraph numbers refer to translated portion submitted by Applicant) in view of Kaitsu et al. (US 6562481) and further in view of Shinohara et al. (US 6740383).

The combination of JP 7-254128 in view of Kaitsu et al. as applied above teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for the claimed surface roughness of the medium.

Shinohara et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium and teach that the surface roughness of the medium is preferably 1nm or less. The reference teaches that surface roughness affects stiction and frictional force associated with contact with a floating magnetic head are affected by the surface roughness of the medium (Fig. 14, Fig. 16, col. 16, lines 11-14).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to determine the optimal surface roughness for the medium taught by JP 7-254128 in order to achieve reduced stiction and friction associated with the use of a magnetic head. Such an optimization would have been obvious because it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Art Unit: 1773

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1773

hr

June 28, 2004